



# The SDM<sup>®</sup> System in Child Welfare Services in California: Comparison Data

*A Supplement to *The Structured Decision Making<sup>®</sup> System  
in Child Welfare Services in California: Combined Counties**

Report Date: May 2017

Report Period: January 1 – December 31, 2016

**Table 1****Emergency Response Referrals  
CWS/CMS Screening Decision by County**

<b>County</b>	<b>Accept</b>		<b>Evaluate Out</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
Alameda	4,299	45%	5,218	55%	<b>9,517</b>	<b>100%</b>
Alpine	20	65%	11	35%	<b>31</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	302	46%	353	54%	<b>655</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	1,142	33%	2,369	67%	<b>3,511</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	337	61%	215	39%	<b>552</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	152	58%	112	42%	<b>264</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	3,593	48%	3,827	52%	<b>7,420</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	401	45%	487	55%	<b>888</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	1,150	48%	1,245	52%	<b>2,395</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	8,481	56%	6,561	44%	<b>15,042</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	291	50%	296	50%	<b>587</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	1,001	36%	1,809	64%	<b>2,810</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	1,387	57%	1,045	43%	<b>2,432</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	243	57%	180	43%	<b>423</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	6,815	53%	6,094	47%	<b>12,909</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	1,050	47%	1,182	53%	<b>2,232</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	365	33%	750	67%	<b>1,115</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	246	49%	253	51%	<b>499</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	65,711	78%	18,481	22%	<b>84,192</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	1,816	80%	468	20%	<b>2,284</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	474	35%	880	65%	<b>1,354</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	155	91%	15	9%	<b>170</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	1,197	57%	898	43%	<b>2,095</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	2,219	58%	1,583	42%	<b>3,802</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	230	76%	71	24%	<b>301</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	80	54%	68	46%	<b>148</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	2,214	45%	2,692	55%	<b>4,906</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	478	33%	961	67%	<b>1,439</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	13,125	46%	15,667	54%	<b>28,792</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	1,567	50%	1,539	50%	<b>3,106</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	122	71%	49	29%	<b>171</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	21,122	79%	5,567	21%	<b>26,689</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	10,402	56%	8,012	44%	<b>18,414</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	276	74%	97	26%	<b>373</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	22,610	53%	19,909	47%	<b>42,519</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 1**

**Emergency Response Referrals  
CWS/CMS Screening Decision by County**

County	Accept		Evaluate Out		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Francisco	1,913	44%	2,401	56%	<b>4,314</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	4,327	47%	4,909	53%	<b>9,236</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	2,020	42%	2,754	58%	<b>4,774</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	2,139	59%	1,456	41%	<b>3,595</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	2,996	57%	2,303	43%	<b>5,299</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,846	66%	957	34%	<b>2,803</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	1,756	54%	1,525	46%	<b>3,281</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	518	77%	151	23%	<b>669</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	2,255	46%	2,597	54%	<b>4,852</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	2,219	40%	3,297	60%	<b>5,516</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	2,900	43%	3,867	57%	<b>6,767</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	335	37%	568	63%	<b>903</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	681	43%	901	57%	<b>1,582</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	106	46%	123	54%	<b>229</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	5,039	58%	3,665	42%	<b>8,704</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	290	41%	414	59%	<b>704</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	5,515	54%	4,773	46%	<b>10,288</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	1,004	46%	1,187	54%	<b>2,191</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	425	37%	719	63%	<b>1,144</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>213,370</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>147,535</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>360,905</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 2

## SDM® Screening Completion Rates by County

County	Completed		Not Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	8,396	88%	1,120	12%	<b>9,516</b>	<b>100%</b>
Alpine	28	90%	3	10%	<b>31</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	654	100%	1	<0%	<b>655</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	3,475	99%	36	1%	<b>3,511</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	547	99%	5	1%	<b>552</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	244	92%	20	8%	<b>264</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	7,368	99%	52	1%	<b>7,420</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	872	98%	16	2%	<b>888</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	2,315	97%	80	3%	<b>2,395</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	14,706	98%	336	2%	<b>15,042</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	586	100%	1	<1%	<b>587</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	2,796	100%	14	<1%	<b>2,810</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	2,432	100%	0	0%	<b>2,432</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	373	88%	50	12%	<b>423</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	12,731	99%	178	1%	<b>12,909</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	2,133	96%	99	4%	<b>2,232</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	1,032	93%	83	7%	<b>1,115</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	496	99%	3	1%	<b>499</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	83,964	100%	228	0%	<b>84,192</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	2,242	98%	42	2%	<b>2,284</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	1,227	91%	127	9%	<b>1,354</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	159	94%	11	6%	<b>170</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	2,044	98%	51	2%	<b>2,095</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	3,555	94%	247	6%	<b>3,802</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	294	98%	7	2%	<b>301</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	145	98%	3	2%	<b>148</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	4,807	98%	99	2%	<b>4,906</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	1,349	94%	90	6%	<b>1,439</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	28,670	100%	121	<1%	<b>28,791</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	2,967	96%	139	4%	<b>3,106</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	130	76%	41	24%	<b>171</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	25,657	96%	1,032	4%	<b>26,689</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	18,273	99%	141	1%	<b>18,414</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	362	97%	11	3%	<b>373</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	40,234	95%	2,285	5%	<b>42,519</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	4,288	99%	26	1%	<b>4,314</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 2**

**SDM® Screening Completion Rates by County**

County	Completed		Not Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Joaquin	9,042	98%	194	2%	<b>9,236</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	4,755	100%	19	<1%	<b>4,774</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	3,377	94%	218	6%	<b>3,595</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	5,298	100%	1	<1%	<b>5,299</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	2,786	99%	17	1%	<b>2,803</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	3,195	97%	86	3%	<b>3,281</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	637	95%	32	5%	<b>669</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	4,721	97%	131	3%	<b>4,852</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	5,456	99%	60	1%	<b>5,516</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	6,585	97%	182	3%	<b>6,767</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	753	83%	150	17%	<b>903</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	1,153	73%	429	27%	<b>1,582</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	217	95%	12	5%	<b>229</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	8,702	100%	2	<1%	<b>8,704</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	689	98%	15	2%	<b>704</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	10,190	99%	98	1%	<b>10,288</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	2,002	91%	189	9%	<b>2,191</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	1,091	95%	53	5%	<b>1,144</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>352,212</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>8,691</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>360,903</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. Two referrals were excluded from analysis due to an error in the assessment; this exclusion does not affect results.

**Table 3****Final SDM® Screening Decision by County**

County	Accept		Evaluate Out		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	3,670	44%	4,726	56%	<b>8,396</b>	<b>100%</b>
Alpine	19	68%	9	32%	<b>28</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	300	46%	354	54%	<b>654</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	1,153	33%	2,322	67%	<b>3,475</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	348	64%	199	36%	<b>547</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	149	61%	95	39%	<b>244</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	3,703	50%	3,665	50%	<b>7,368</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	406	47%	466	53%	<b>872</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	1,100	48%	1,215	52%	<b>2,315</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	8,430	57%	6,276	43%	<b>14,706</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	291	50%	295	50%	<b>586</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	1,004	36%	1,792	64%	<b>2,796</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	1,388	57%	1,044	43%	<b>2,432</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	198	53%	175	47%	<b>373</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	7,206	57%	5,525	43%	<b>12,731</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	1,052	49%	1,081	51%	<b>2,133</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	325	31%	707	69%	<b>1,032</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	246	50%	250	50%	<b>496</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	67,830	81%	16,134	19%	<b>83,964</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	1,836	82%	406	18%	<b>2,242</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	436	36%	791	64%	<b>1,227</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	146	92%	13	8%	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	1,344	66%	700	34%	<b>2,044</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	2,149	60%	1406	40%	<b>3,555</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	227	77%	67	23%	<b>294</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	82	57%	63	43%	<b>145</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	2,199	46%	2,608	54%	<b>4,807</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	514	38%	835	62%	<b>1,349</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	13,099	46%	15,571	54%	<b>28,670</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	1,844	62%	1,123	38%	<b>2,967</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	111	85%	19	15%	<b>130</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	21,595	84%	4,062	16%	<b>25,657</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	10,417	57%	7,856	43%	<b>18,273</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	273	75%	89	25%	<b>362</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	24,899	62%	15,335	38%	<b>40,234</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 3**

**Final SDM® Screening Decision by County**

County	Accept		Evaluate Out		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Francisco	1,927	45%	2,361	55%	<b>4,288</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	4,297	48%	4,745	52%	<b>9,042</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	2,019	42%	2,736	58%	<b>4,755</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	2,190	65%	1,187	35%	<b>3,377</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	3,272	62%	2,026	38%	<b>5,298</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,843	66%	943	34%	<b>2,786</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	1,698	53%	1,497	47%	<b>3,195</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	510	80%	127	20%	<b>637</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	2,271	48%	2,450	52%	<b>4,721</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	2,672	49%	2,784	51%	<b>5,456</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	2,835	43%	3,750	57%	<b>6,585</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	518	69%	235	31%	<b>753</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	590	51%	563	49%	<b>1,153</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	130	60%	87	40%	<b>217</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	5,115	59%	3,587	41%	<b>8,702</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	291	42%	398	58%	<b>689</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	5,519	54%	4,671	46%	<b>10,190</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	1,047	52%	955	48%	<b>2,002</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	470	43%	621	57%	<b>1,091</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>219,214</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>132,998</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>352,212</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

**Table 4**

**SDM® Screening Overrides by County**

County	Not Overridden		Override to Screen In, No Criteria Marked		Override to Evaluate Out		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	6,967	83%	241	3%	1,143	14%	<b>8,351</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	560	94%	8	1%	27	5%	<b>595</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	3,042	89%	137	4%	234	7%	<b>3,413</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	456	88%	14	3%	48	9%	<b>518</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	197	86%	9	4%	23	10%	<b>229</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	6,679	94%	94	1%	335	5%	<b>7,108</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	788	94%	27	3%	27	3%	<b>842</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	2,003	93%	75	3%	73	3%	<b>2,151</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	9,496	65%	61	<1%	4,992	34%	<b>14,549</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	471	90%	11	2%	41	8%	<b>523</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	2,413	96%	62	2%	51	2%	<b>2,526</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	2,357	97%	62	3%	2	<1%	<b>2,421</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	279	96%	3	1%	10	3%	<b>292</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	10,934	89%	7	<1%	1,306	11%	<b>12,247</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	1,928	96%	24	1%	54	3%	<b>2,006</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	980	98%	2	<1%	18	2%	<b>1,000</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	482	98%	2	<1%	10	2%	<b>494</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	72,336	93%	1,385	2%	4,307	6%	<b>78,028</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	1,917	94%	17	1%	102	5%	<b>2,036</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	1,147	99%	5	<1%	1	<1%	<b>1,153</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	148	97%	0	0%	4	3%	<b>152</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	1,497	83%	6	<1%	301	17%	<b>1,804</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	3,496	99%	7	<1%	29	1%	<b>3,532</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	275	98%	2	1%	5	2%	<b>282</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	111	92%	1	1%	9	7%	<b>121</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	4,309	95%	83	2%	137	3%	<b>4,529</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	907	80%	26	2%	194	17%	<b>1,127</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	23,701	95%	43	<1%	1,121	5%	<b>24,865</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	2,852	98%	7	<1%	56	2%	<b>2,915</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	112	87%	0	0%	17	13%	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	23,977	95%	335	1%	1,027	4%	<b>25,339</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	17,097	96%	44	<1%	714	4%	<b>17,855</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	307	92%	3	1%	23	7%	<b>333</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	33,899	93%	598	2%	2,139	6%	<b>36,636</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Table 4**

**SDM® Screening Overrides by County**

County	Not Overridden		Override to Screen In, No Criteria Marked		Override to Evaluate Out		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Francisco	3,821	97%	21	1%	85	2%	<b>3,927</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	8,344	97%	213	2%	79	1%	<b>8,636</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	4,315	99%	16	<1%	39	1%	<b>4,370</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	3,098	93%	175	5%	67	2%	<b>3,340</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	4,812	93%	26	1%	319	6%	<b>5,157</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	2,606	99%	2	<1%	26	1%	<b>2,634</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	2,970	96%	51	2%	73	2%	<b>3,094</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	577	92%	1	<1%	49	8%	<b>627</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	4,138	96%	86	2%	83	2%	<b>4,307</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	5,074	95%	11	<1%	256	5%	<b>5,341</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	6,021	96%	64	1%	213	3%	<b>6,298</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	659	89%	7	1%	73	10%	<b>739</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	977	91%	35	3%	59	6%	<b>1,071</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	198	94%	5	2%	8	4%	<b>211</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	6,957	95%	106	1%	296	4%	<b>7,359</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	653	96%	2	<1%	23	3%	<b>678</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	8,349	96%	8	<1%	300	3%	<b>8,657</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	1,709	91%	31	2%	141	7%	<b>1,881</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	729	69%	52	5%	274	26%	<b>1,055</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>304,156</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>4317</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>21,046</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>329,519</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. Referrals determined to be evaluated out by the preliminary screening are not included in this table as the override section is not complete for these referrals.

Table 5

## Final SDM® Response Priority Level by County

County	Immediate/ 24 Hours		10 Days*		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	1,482	44%	1,895	56%	<b>3,377</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	76	27%	205	73%	<b>281</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	269	28%	709	72%	<b>978</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	66	22%	240	78%	<b>306</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	38	28%	96	72%	<b>134</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	696	21%	2,699	79%	<b>3,395</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	100	27%	270	73%	<b>370</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	278	28%	716	72%	<b>994</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	2,920	36%	5,259	64%	<b>8,179</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	47	17%	223	83%	<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	190	20%	745	80%	<b>935</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	462	37%	786	63%	<b>1,248</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	41	23%	136	77%	<b>177</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	2,676	41%	3,916	59%	<b>6,592</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	412	41%	587	59%	<b>999</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	82	26%	231	74%	<b>313</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	65	27%	172	73%	<b>237</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	17,352	28%	44,688	72%	<b>62,040</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	524	30%	1,207	70%	<b>1,731</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	129	31%	289	69%	<b>418</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	46	32%	99	68%	<b>145</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	183	16%	962	84%	<b>1,145</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	850	41%	1,220	59%	<b>2,070</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	95	44%	122	56%	<b>217</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	4	6%	68	94%	<b>72</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	799	40%	1,196	60%	<b>1,995</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	58	14%	358	86%	<b>416</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	3,178	25%	9,623	75%	<b>12,801</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	260	19%	1,104	81%	<b>1,364</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	17	17%	82	83%	<b>99</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	4,239	21%	15,533	79%	<b>19,772</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	4,963	49%	5,078	51%	<b>10,041</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	57	22%	204	78%	<b>261</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	8,269	40%	12,458	60%	<b>20,727</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	847	48%	900	52%	<b>1,747</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 5**

**Final SDM® Response Priority Level by County**

County	Immediate/ 24 Hours		10 Days*		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Joaquin	1,106	27%	2,923	73%	<b>4,029</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	569	29%	1,423	71%	<b>1,992</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	783	42%	1,071	58%	<b>1,854</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	484	17%	2,424	83%	<b>2,908</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	577	32%	1,254	68%	<b>1,831</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	464	29%	1,164	71%	<b>1,628</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	91	19%	398	81%	<b>489</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	530	26%	1,537	74%	<b>2,067</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	508	24%	1,577	76%	<b>2,085</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	756	28%	1,940	72%	<b>2,696</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	53	18%	249	82%	<b>302</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	144	32%	307	68%	<b>451</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	35	36%	63	64%	<b>98</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	1,363	28%	3,534	72%	<b>4,897</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	52	18%	231	82%	<b>283</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	1,038	20%	4,253	80%	<b>5,291</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	266	31%	587	69%	<b>853</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	190	56%	151	44%	<b>341</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>60,786</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>139,181</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>199,967</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*Five days in Los Angeles County.

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. Due to underlying issues in the assessment, 4,027 (2%) response priority assessments were excluded from the analysis. The exclusion of this small percentage does not affect analysis findings.

Table 6

## SDM® Response Priority Override Rate by County

County	Not Overridden		Override to Immediate/24 Hours		Override to 10 Days*		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	2,832	84%	167	5%	378	11%	<b>3,377</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	252	90%	11	4%	18	6%	<b>281</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	892	91%	70	7%	16	2%	<b>978</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	269	88%	17	6%	20	7%	<b>306</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	118	88%	11	8%	5	4%	<b>134</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	3,258	96%	81	2%	56	2%	<b>3,395</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	340	92%	15	4%	15	4%	<b>370</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	922	93%	38	4%	34	3%	<b>994</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	7,686	94%	321	4%	172	2%	<b>8,179</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	261	97%	7	3%	2	1%	<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	845	90%	28	3%	62	7%	<b>935</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	1,236	99%	11	1%	1	0%	<b>1,248</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	160	90%	12	7%	5	3%	<b>177</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	5,874	89%	451	7%	267	4%	<b>6,592</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	937	94%	43	4%	19	2%	<b>999</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	298	95%	11	4%	4	1%	<b>313</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	215	91%	16	7%	6	3%	<b>237</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	51,550	83%	1,788	3%	8,702	14%	<b>62,040</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	1,625	94%	91	5%	15	1%	<b>1,731</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	383	92%	12	3%	23	6%	<b>418</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	130	90%	12	8%	3	2%	<b>145</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	1,106	97%	32	3%	7	1%	<b>1,145</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	1,874	91%	173	8%	23	1%	<b>2,070</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	187	86%	26	12%	4	2%	<b>217</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	69	96%	1	1%	2	3%	<b>72</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	1,740	87%	142	7%	113	6%	<b>1,995</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	402	97%	8	2%	6	1%	<b>416</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	11,227	88%	307	2%	1,267	10%	<b>12,801</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	1,329	97%	22	2%	13	1%	<b>1,364</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	93	94%	2	2%	4	4%	<b>99</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	18,252	92%	719	4%	801	4%	<b>19,772</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	9,066	90%	292	3%	683	7%	<b>10,041</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	249	95%	10	4%	2	1%	<b>261</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	17,836	86%	1,405	7%	1,486	7%	<b>20,727</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	1,603	92%	73	4%	71	4%	<b>1,747</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 6**

**SDM® Response Priority Override Rate by County**

County	Not Overridden		Override to Immediate/24 Hours		Override to 10 Days*		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Joaquin	3,343	83%	75	2%	611	15%	<b>4,029</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	1,683	84%	133	7%	176	9%	<b>1,992</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	1,626	88%	65	4%	163	9%	<b>1,854</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	2,820	97%	49	2%	39	1%	<b>2,908</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,745	95%	16	1%	70	4%	<b>1,831</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	1,456	89%	43	3%	129	8%	<b>1,628</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	458	94%	20	4%	11	2%	<b>489</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	1,884	91%	73	4%	110	5%	<b>2,067</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	1,845	88%	49	2%	191	9%	<b>2,085</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	2,506	93%	147	5%	43	2%	<b>2,696</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	281	93%	14	5%	7	2%	<b>302</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	419	93%	12	3%	20	4%	<b>451</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	85	87%	5	5%	8	8%	<b>98</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	4,418	90%	312	6%	167	3%	<b>4,897</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	258	91%	22	8%	3	1%	<b>283</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	4,835	91%	145	3%	311	6%	<b>5,291</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	752	88%	71	8%	30	4%	<b>853</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	256	75%	73	21%	12	4%	<b>341</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>175,807</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>7,751</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>16,409</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>199,967</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*Five days in Los Angeles County.

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. Due to underlying issues in the assessment, 4,027 (2%) response priority assessments were excluded from the analysis. The exclusion of this small percentage does not affect analysis findings.

**Table 7**

**SDM® Safety Assessment Completion Rates by County**

County	Completed		Not Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	3,116	76%	960	24%	<b>4,076</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	252	87%	39	13%	<b>291</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	880	85%	150	15%	<b>1,030</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	298	96%	14	4%	<b>312</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	128	88%	18	12%	<b>146</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	2,585	76%	835	24%	<b>3,420</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	314	91%	31	9%	<b>345</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	868	83%	184	17%	<b>1,052</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	6,627	86%	1,076	14%	<b>7,703</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	253	93%	20	7%	<b>273</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	855	90%	93	10%	<b>948</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	786	60%	522	40%	<b>1,308</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	114	47%	129	53%	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	5,917	94%	409	6%	<b>6,326</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	832	85%	143	15%	<b>975</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	211	68%	101	32%	<b>312</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	208	94%	13	6%	<b>221</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	54,145	86%	8,674	14%	<b>62,819</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	1,004	58%	720	42%	<b>1,724</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	406	89%	48	11%	<b>454</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	119	79%	32	21%	<b>151</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	675	66%	343	34%	<b>1,018</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	1,859	92%	165	8%	<b>2,024</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	193	90%	21	10%	<b>214</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	60	75%	20	25%	<b>80</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	1,813	86%	285	14%	<b>2,098</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	348	86%	57	14%	<b>405</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	10,769	84%	2,001	16%	<b>12,770</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	1,102	76%	356	24%	<b>1,458</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	67	57%	51	43%	<b>118</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	17,515	89%	2,088	11%	<b>19,603</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	8,805	91%	866	9%	<b>9,671</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	193	73%	71	27%	<b>264</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	19,117	89%	2,245	11%	<b>21,362</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	1,700	92%	156	8%	<b>1,856</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	3,404	86%	540	14%	<b>3,944</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 7**

**SDM® Safety Assessment Completion Rates by County**

County	Completed		Not Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Luis Obispo	1,786	92%	162	8%	<b>1,948</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	1,851	89%	219	11%	<b>2,070</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	2,624	92%	232	8%	<b>2,856</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,590	89%	204	11%	<b>1,794</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	1,481	87%	226	13%	<b>1,707</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	448	98%	9	2%	<b>457</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	1,928	91%	192	9%	<b>2,120</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	1,882	90%	216	10%	<b>2,098</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	2,471	87%	378	13%	<b>2,849</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	263	85%	45	15%	<b>308</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	421	68%	194	32%	<b>615</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	88	92%	8	8%	<b>96</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	4,170	88%	580	12%	<b>4,750</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	250	95%	12	5%	<b>262</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	4,748	90%	554	10%	<b>5,302</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	763	80%	185	20%	<b>948</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	272	72%	106	28%	<b>378</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>174,591</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>27,008</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>201,599</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 investigations were available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by individual county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. The safety assessment completion rates shown above include only those assessments completed for allegation households

Table 8

## SDM® Safety Assessment Results by County

County	Safe		Conditionally Safe		Unsafe		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	2,564	82%	225	7%	327	10%	<b>3,116</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	212	84%	14	6%	26	10%	<b>252</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	547	62%	207	24%	126	14%	<b>880</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	220	74%	58	19%	20	7%	<b>298</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	93	73%	25	20%	10	8%	<b>128</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	1,972	76%	423	16%	190	7%	<b>2,585</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	226	72%	67	21%	21	7%	<b>314</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	597	69%	196	23%	75	9%	<b>868</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	5,274	80%	824	12%	529	8%	<b>6,627</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	182	72%	53	21%	18	7%	<b>253</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	715	84%	45	5%	95	11%	<b>855</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	485	62%	115	15%	186	24%	<b>786</b>	<b>100%</b>
Inyo	78	68%	28	25%	8	7%	<b>114</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	4,893	83%	624	11%	400	7%	<b>5,917</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	643	77%	119	14%	70	8%	<b>832</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	119	56%	63	30%	29	14%	<b>211</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	145	70%	40	19%	23	11%	<b>208</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	43,304	80%	7,740	14%	3,101	6%	<b>54,145</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	725	72%	149	15%	130	13%	<b>1,004</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	254	63%	135	33%	17	4%	<b>406</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	97	82%	15	13%	7	6%	<b>119</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	469	69%	140	21%	66	10%	<b>675</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	1,477	79%	244	13%	138	7%	<b>1,859</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	172	89%	20	10%	1	1%	<b>193</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	44	73%	15	25%	1	2%	<b>60</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	1,361	75%	329	18%	123	7%	<b>1,813</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	263	76%	69	20%	16	5%	<b>348</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	9,156	85%	1,014	9%	599	6%	<b>10,769</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	799	73%	243	22%	60	5%	<b>1,102</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	41	61%	18	27%	8	12%	<b>67</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	15,537	89%	1,366	8%	612	3%	<b>17,515</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	5,444	62%	2,806	32%	555	6%	<b>8,805</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	150	78%	24	12%	19	10%	<b>193</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	15,831	83%	2,644	14%	642	3%	<b>19,117</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	1,125	66%	378	22%	197	12%	<b>1,700</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	2,770	81%	406	12%	228	7%	<b>3,404</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Table 8**

**SDM® Safety Assessment Results by County**

County	Safe		Conditionally Safe		Unsafe		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Luis Obispo	1,266	71%	395	22%	125	7%	<b>1,786</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	1,543	83%	257	14%	51	3%	<b>1,851</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	2,346	89%	201	8%	77	3%	<b>2,624</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,117	70%	416	26%	57	4%	<b>1,590</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	896	60%	465	31%	120	8%	<b>1,481</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	341	76%	63	14%	44	10%	<b>448</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	1,569	81%	223	12%	136	7%	<b>1,928</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	1,416	75%	363	19%	103	5%	<b>1,882</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	1,953	79%	343	14%	175	7%	<b>2,471</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	168	64%	63	24%	32	12%	<b>263</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	309	73%	76	18%	36	9%	<b>421</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	40	45%	37	42%	11	13%	<b>88</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	3,323	80%	595	14%	252	6%	<b>4,170</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	142	57%	79	32%	29	12%	<b>250</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	4,124	87%	383	8%	241	5%	<b>4,748</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	517	68%	177	23%	69	9%	<b>763</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	94	35%	154	57%	24	9%	<b>272</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>139,160</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>25,176</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>10,255</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>174,591</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

**Table 9**

**Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households by County**

<b>Safety Threat</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Alameda (N = 327)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	180	55%
Physical Harm	103	31%
Other	80	24%
<b>Amador (N = 26)</b>		
Previous Maltreatment	14	54%
Failure to Protect	14	54%
Physical Harm	13	50%
<b>Butte (N = 126)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	79	63%
Hazardous Living Conditions	76	60%
Physical Harm	33	26%
<b>El Dorado (N = 75)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	49	65%
Previous Maltreatment	35	47%
Physical Harm	29	39%
<b>Fresno (N = 529)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	315	60%
Physical Harm	181	34%
Hazardous Living Conditions	132	25%
<b>Humboldt (N = 95)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	59	62%
Physical Harm	45	47%
Failure to Protect	22	23%
<b>Imperial (N = 186)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	113	61%
Other	71	38%
Failure to Protect	37	20%
Hazardous Living Conditions	38	20%
<b>Kern (N = 400)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	220	55%
Physical Harm	151	38%
Hazardous Living Conditions	105	26%
<b>Kings (N = 70)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	35	50%
Physical Harm	23	33%
Hazardous Living Conditions	17	24%

**Table 9**

**Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households by County**

<b>Safety Threat</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Lake (N = 29)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	18	62%
Physical Harm	14	48%
Previous Maltreatment	12	41%
<b>Los Angeles (N = 3,101)</b>		
Physical Harm	1,321	43%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	1,340	43%
Failure to Protect	897	29%
Other	901	29%
<b>Madera (N = 130)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	69	53%
Physical Harm	50	38%
Failure to Protect	46	35%
<b>Mendocino (N = 66)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	46	70%
Failure to Protect	23	35%
Physical Harm	21	32%
<b>Merced (N = 138)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	103	75%
Failure to Protect	50	36%
Hazardous Living Conditions	48	35%
<b>Monterey (N = 123)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	82	67%
Physical Harm	43	35%
Previous Maltreatment	35	28%
Failure to Protect	34	28%
<b>Orange (N = 599)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	290	48%
Physical Harm	228	38%
Other	175	29%
<b>Placer (N = 60)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	37	62%
Physical Harm	25	42%
Failure to Protect	19	32%

**Table 9**

**Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households by County**

<b>Safety Threat</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Riverside (N = 612)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	374	61%
Physical Harm	257	42%
Failure to Protect	191	31%
<b>Sacramento (N = 555)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	257	46%
Physical Harm	252	45%
Failure to Protect	194	35%
<b>San Diego (N = 642)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	305	48%
Physical Harm	227	35%
Failure to Protect	153	24%
<b>San Francisco (N = 197)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	110	56%
Physical Harm	77	39%
Failure to Protect	42	21%
<b>San Joaquin (N = 228)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	139	61%
Physical Harm	104	46%
Hazardous Living Conditions	45	20%
<b>San Luis Obispo (N = 125)</b>		
Physical Harm	43	34%
Previous Maltreatment	29	23%
Sexual Abuse	1	1%
<b>San Mateo (N = 51)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	22	43%
Physical Harm	18	35%
Failure to Protect	14	27%
<b>Santa Barbara (N = 77)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	54	70%
Failure to Protect	22	29%
Hazardous Living Conditions	22	29%
<b>Santa Cruz (N = 57)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	37	65%
Failure to Protect	23	40%
Physical Harm	15	26%

**Table 9**

**Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households by County**

<b>Safety Threat</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Shasta (N = 120)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	74	62%
Previous Maltreatment	67	56%
Physical Harm	46	38%
<b>Siskiyou (N = 44)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	25	57%
Hazardous Living Conditions	17	39%
Failure to Protect	13	30%
<b>Solano (N = 136)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	87	64%
Physical Harm	45	33%
Hazardous Living Conditions	26	19%
<b>Sonoma (N = 103)</b>		
Physical Harm	46	45%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	46	45%
Failure to Protect	28	27%
<b>Stanislaus (N = 175)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	94	54%
Physical Harm	61	35%
Previous Maltreatment	47	27%
<b>Sutter (N = 32)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	20	63%
Physical Harm	16	50%
Caregiver Negative About Child	8	25%
<b>Tehama (N = 36)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	24	67%
Hazardous Living Conditions	14	39%
Physical Harm	13	36%
<b>Tulare (N = 252)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	118	47%
Physical Harm	102	40%
Hazardous Living Conditions	95	38%
<b>Tuolumne (N = 29)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	12	41%
Physical Harm	11	38%
Previous Maltreatment	8	28%

**Table 9****Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households by County**

<b>Safety Threat</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Ventura (N = 241)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	127	53%
Physical Harm	80	33%
Failure to Protect	76	32%
<b>Yolo (N = 69)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	42	61%
Failure to Protect	22	32%
Physical Harm	21	30%
<b>Combined (N = 10,255)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	5,279	51%
Physical Harm	3,974	39%
Failure to Protect	2,786	27%

Note: Counties with fewer than 25 assessments completed during the period are not included in the analysis.

**Table 10**

**SDM® Risk Assessment Completion Rates by County  
Substantiated and Inconclusive Investigations**

County	Completed		Not Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	984	86%	156	14%	<b>1,140</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	162	99%	1	1%	<b>163</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	462	77%	140	23%	<b>602</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	178	98%	3	2%	<b>181</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	36	80%	9	20%	<b>45</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	942	86%	156	14%	<b>1,098</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	162	99%	1	1%	<b>163</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	455	91%	43	9%	<b>498</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	2,903	92%	257	8%	<b>3,160</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	149	97%	4	3%	<b>153</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	349	89%	41	11%	<b>390</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	403	88%	57	12%	<b>460</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	3,133	95%	165	5%	<b>3,298</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	454	95%	25	5%	<b>479</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	140	82%	30	18%	<b>170</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	89	98%	2	2%	<b>91</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	40,999	95%	2,206	5%	<b>43,205</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	466	94%	28	6%	<b>494</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	256	95%	14	5%	<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	25	68%	12	32%	<b>37</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	542	88%	71	12%	<b>613</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	332	61%	212	39%	<b>544</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	69	86%	11	14%	<b>80</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	23	79%	6	21%	<b>29</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	666	94%	42	6%	<b>708</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	225	93%	17	7%	<b>242</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	6,012	97%	160	3%	<b>6,172</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	565	74%	203	26%	<b>768</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	34	39%	53	61%	<b>87</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	6,492	95%	319	5%	<b>6,811</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	5,617	94%	348	6%	<b>5,965</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	47	80%	12	20%	<b>59</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	11,097	97%	372	3%	<b>11,469</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	547	76%	173	24%	<b>720</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	1,864	94%	122	6%	<b>1,986</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 10**

**SDM® Risk Assessment Completion Rates by County  
Substantiated and Inconclusive Investigations**

County	Completed		Not Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Luis Obispo	807	99%	11	1%	<b>818</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	671	93%	52	7%	<b>723</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	997	93%	74	7%	<b>1,071</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,194	99%	17	1%	<b>1,211</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	808	97%	27	3%	<b>835</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	303	97%	9	3%	<b>312</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	769	88%	101	12%	<b>870</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	1,350	93%	95	7%	<b>1,445</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	2,043	96%	82	4%	<b>2,125</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	113	61%	73	39%	<b>186</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	186	67%	93	33%	<b>279</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	62	97%	2	3%	<b>64</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	1,419	95%	75	5%	<b>1,494</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	177	91%	18	9%	<b>195</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	1,897	87%	293	13%	<b>2,190</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	552	84%	107	16%	<b>659</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	207	76%	65	24%	<b>272</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>100,458</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6,670</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>107,128</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 investigations were available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by individual county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.



**Table 11****Final SDM® Risk Level by County**

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	194	20%	469	48%	254	26%	67	7%	<b>984</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	17	10%	59	36%	62	38%	24	15%	<b>162</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	30	6%	158	34%	177	38%	97	21%	<b>462</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	18	10%	92	52%	59	33%	9	5%	<b>178</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	1	3%	16	44%	13	36%	6	17%	<b>36</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	171	18%	443	47%	241	26%	87	9%	<b>942</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	18	11%	66	41%	55	34%	23	14%	<b>162</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	55	12%	188	41%	149	33%	63	14%	<b>455</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	356	12%	1,268	44%	942	32%	337	12%	<b>2,903</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	15	10%	65	44%	46	31%	23	15%	<b>149</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	23	7%	126	36%	121	35%	79	23%	<b>349</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	74	18%	150	37%	114	28%	65	16%	<b>403</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	271	9%	1,312	42%	1,093	35%	457	15%	<b>3,133</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	72	16%	201	44%	133	29%	48	11%	<b>454</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	14	10%	65	46%	48	34%	13	9%	<b>140</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	5	6%	34	38%	26	29%	24	27%	<b>89</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	6,617	16%	17,155	42%	13,035	32%	4,192	10%	<b>40,999</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	76	16%	206	44%	120	26%	64	14%	<b>466</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	58	23%	131	51%	46	18%	21	8%	<b>256</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	4	16%	12	48%	5	20%	4	16%	<b>25</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	100	18%	238	44%	142	26%	62	11%	<b>542</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	57	17%	149	45%	93	28%	33	10%	<b>332</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	11	16%	28	41%	23	33%	7	10%	<b>69</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	145	22%	297	45%	157	24%	67	10%	<b>666</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	29	13%	112	50%	64	28%	20	9%	<b>225</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	1,545	26%	2,719	45%	1,432	24%	316	5%	<b>6,012</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	75	13%	295	52%	154	27%	41	7%	<b>565</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	0	0%	17	50%	14	41%	3	9%	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	1,043	16%	2,911	45%	1,869	29%	669	10%	<b>6,492</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	825	15%	2,687	48%	1,559	28%	546	10%	<b>5,617</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	6	13%	20	43%	15	32%	6	13%	<b>47</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	1,773	16%	5,162	47%	3,232	29%	930	8%	<b>11,097</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	45	8%	128	23%	248	45%	126	23%	<b>547</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	353	19%	889	48%	462	25%	160	9%	<b>1,864</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	88	11%	344	43%	298	37%	77	10%	<b>807</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	131	20%	333	50%	155	23%	52	8%	<b>671</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 11**

**Final SDM® Risk Level by County**

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Santa Barbara	172	17%	499	50%	241	24%	85	9%	<b>997</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	198	17%	591	49%	319	27%	86	7%	<b>1,194</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	72	9%	379	47%	275	34%	82	10%	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	22	7%	167	55%	85	28%	29	10%	<b>303</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	129	17%	374	49%	181	24%	85	11%	<b>769</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	133	10%	655	49%	423	31%	139	10%	<b>1,350</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	376	18%	1,004	49%	495	24%	168	8%	<b>2,043</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	15	13%	52	46%	30	27%	16	14%	<b>113</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	20	11%	74	40%	63	34%	29	16%	<b>186</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	7	11%	20	32%	28	45%	7	11%	<b>62</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	174	12%	666	47%	433	31%	146	10%	<b>1,419</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	19	11%	62	35%	79	45%	17	10%	<b>177</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	269	14%	980	52%	512	27%	136	7%	<b>1,897</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	83	15%	263	48%	163	30%	43	8%	<b>552</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	28	14%	92	44%	67	32%	20	10%	<b>207</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>16,037</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>44,442</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>30,064</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>9,915</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>100,458</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 12

## SDM® Risk Override Rate by County

County	Not Overridden		Policy Override		Discretionary Override		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	941	96%	6	1%	37	4%	<b>984</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	161	99%	1	1%	0	0%	<b>162</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	447	97%	2	<1%	13	3%	<b>462</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	177	99%	1	1%	0	0%	<b>178</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	33	92%	0	0%	3	8%	<b>36</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	877	93%	18	2%	47	5%	<b>942</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	158	98%	0	0%	4	2%	<b>162</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	439	96%	4	1%	12	3%	<b>455</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	2,833	98%	20	1%	50	2%	<b>2,903</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	144	97%	0	0%	5	3%	<b>149</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	329	94%	7	2%	13	4%	<b>349</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	368	91%	0	0%	35	9%	<b>403</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	3,051	97%	18	1%	64	2%	<b>3,133</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	432	95%	9	2%	13	3%	<b>454</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	136	97%	0	0%	4	3%	<b>140</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	82	92%	0	0%	7	8%	<b>89</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	37,353	91%	354	1%	3,292	8%	<b>40,999</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	456	98%	5	1%	5	1%	<b>466</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	250	98%	2	1%	4	2%	<b>256</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mariposa	25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	<b>25</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	526	97%	2	<1%	14	3%	<b>542</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	324	98%	2	1%	6	2%	<b>332</b>	<b>100%</b>
Modoc	69	100%	0	0%	0	0%	<b>69</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mono	23	100%	0	0%	0	0%	<b>23</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	635	95%	7	1%	24	4%	<b>666</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	224	100%	1	<1%	0	0%	<b>225</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	5,642	94%	39	1%	331	6%	<b>6,012</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	549	97%	1	<1%	15	3%	<b>565</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	33	97%	0	0%	1	3%	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	6,286	97%	56	1%	150	2%	<b>6,492</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	5,430	97%	37	1%	150	3%	<b>5,617</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Benito	42	89%	2	4%	3	6%	<b>47</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	10,797	97%	61	1%	239	2%	<b>11,097</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	472	86%	6	1%	69	13%	<b>547</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	1,826	98%	5	<1%	33	2%	<b>1,864</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	790	98%	0	0%	17	2%	<b>807</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 12**

**SDM® Risk Override Rate by County**

County	Not Overridden		Policy Override		Discretionary Override		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
San Mateo	633	94%	12	2%	26	4%	<b>671</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	967	97%	7	1%	23	2%	<b>997</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	1,175	98%	0	0%	19	2%	<b>1,194</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	801	99%	5	1%	2	<1%	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	300	99%	2	1%	1	<1%	<b>303</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	751	98%	6	1%	12	2%	<b>769</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	1,315	97%	10	1%	25	2%	<b>1,350</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	1,973	97%	9	0%	61	3%	<b>2,043</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	108	96%	2	2%	3	3%	<b>113</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	183	98%	0	0%	3	2%	<b>186</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	60	97%	0	0%	2	3%	<b>62</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	1,393	98%	6	<1%	20	1%	<b>1,419</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	171	97%	0	0%	6	3%	<b>177</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	1,854	98%	2	<1%	41	2%	<b>1,897</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	533	97%	1	<1%	18	3%	<b>552</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	206	100%	1	<1%	0	0%	<b>207</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>94,805</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>731</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>4,922</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>100,458</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments are available for analysis, results are included in total results but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 13

## SDM® FSNA Completion Rate by County

County	Completed Within 60 Days and Prior to Case Plan		Completed Within 60 Days		Not Completed Within 60 Days		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	253	41%	58	9%	301	49%	<b>612</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	29	63%	2	4%	15	33%	<b>46</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	28	10%	14	5%	238	85%	<b>280</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	31	78%	1	3%	8	20%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
Colusa	2	7%	0	0%	25	93%	<b>27</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	66	12%	13	2%	466	86%	<b>545</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	41	66%	4	6%	17	27%	<b>62</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	12	6%	2	1%	181	93%	<b>195</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	768	63%	169	14%	274	23%	<b>1,211</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	3	7%	0	0%	41	93%	<b>44</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	35	13%	12	4%	228	83%	<b>275</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	190	81%	8	3%	36	15%	<b>234</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	545	59%	180	20%	195	21%	<b>920</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	131	66%	15	8%	52	26%	<b>198</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	11,189	63%	862	5%	5,812	33%	<b>17,863</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	4	1%	0	0%	290	99%	<b>294</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	35	65%	10	19%	9	17%	<b>54</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	4	2%	0	0%	173	98%	<b>177</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	131	34%	19	5%	230	61%	<b>380</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	52	21%	23	9%	173	70%	<b>248</b>	<b>100%</b>
Nevada	3	8%	1	3%	33	89%	<b>37</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	1,139	67%	151	9%	399	24%	<b>1,689</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	23	13%	18	10%	134	77%	<b>175</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	0	0%	1	2%	55	98%	<b>56</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	1,135	49%	117	5%	1,081	46%	<b>2,333</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	860	55%	202	13%	500	32%	<b>1,562</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	894	46%	170	9%	882	45%	<b>1,946</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	452	77%	44	7%	91	16%	<b>587</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	277	54%	77	15%	159	31%	<b>513</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	101	51%	6	3%	91	46%	<b>198</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	167	57%	33	11%	91	31%	<b>291</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	20	7%	2	1%	249	92%	<b>271</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	75	50%	7	5%	67	45%	<b>149</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	18	9%	5	2%	187	89%	<b>210</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 13**

**SDM® FSNA Completion Rate by County**

County	Completed Within 60 Days and Prior to Case Plan		Completed Within 60 Days		Not Completed Within 60 Days		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Siskiyou	1	2%	0	0%	64	98%	<b>65</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	109	54%	13	6%	80	40%	<b>202</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	237	51%	73	16%	152	33%	<b>462</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	340	51%	23	3%	308	46%	<b>671</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	29	38%	8	11%	39	51%	<b>76</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	5	4%	0	0%	117	96%	<b>122</b>	<b>100%</b>
Trinity	8	25%	3	9%	21	66%	<b>32</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	164	27%	67	11%	379	62%	<b>610</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	16	30%	0	0%	37	70%	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	356	71%	38	8%	104	21%	<b>498</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	71	33%	32	15%	115	53%	<b>218</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	15	12%	8	6%	106	82%	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>20,082</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>2,495</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>14,414</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>36,991</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 14**

**SDM® Risk Reassessment Completion Rates by County**

County	Not Completed		Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	128	32%	269	68%	<b>397</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	13	34%	25	66%	<b>38</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	53	50%	53	50%	<b>106</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	17	41%	24	59%	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	127	48%	136	52%	<b>263</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	11	21%	41	79%	<b>52</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	56	66%	29	34%	<b>85</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	296	48%	319	52%	<b>615</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	8	20%	32	80%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	36	36%	65	64%	<b>101</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	108	70%	46	30%	<b>154</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	156	33%	313	67%	<b>469</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	31	11%	246	89%	<b>277</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	4,489	35%	8,513	65%	<b>13,002</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	65	59%	45	41%	<b>110</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	28	76%	9	24%	<b>37</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	54	57%	40	43%	<b>94</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	60	24%	187	76%	<b>247</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	47	44%	60	56%	<b>107</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	304	28%	777	72%	<b>1,081</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	94	70%	40	30%	<b>134</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	26	67%	13	33%	<b>39</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	885	52%	810	48%	<b>1,695</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	245	26%	702	74%	<b>947</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	487	39%	777	61%	<b>1,264</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	70	20%	284	80%	<b>354</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	93	35%	173	65%	<b>266</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	27	28%	71	72%	<b>98</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	101	35%	185	65%	<b>286</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	55	27%	150	73%	<b>205</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	20	23%	68	77%	<b>88</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	44	69%	20	31%	<b>64</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	24	89%	3	11%	<b>27</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	26	23%	88	77%	<b>114</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	67	25%	205	75%	<b>272</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 14**

**SDM® Risk Reassessment Completion Rates by County**

County	Not Completed		Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Stanislaus	43	12%	308	88%	<b>351</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	18	27%	48	73%	<b>66</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	39	98%	1	3%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	194	45%	237	55%	<b>431</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	12	36%	21	64%	<b>33</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	70	27%	185	73%	<b>255</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	29	36%	52	64%	<b>81</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	38	37%	65	63%	<b>103</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,899</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>15,773</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>24,672</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments were available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by individual county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.



Table 15

## SDM® Risk Reassessment Level (After Overrides), by County

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	129	48%	131	49%	7	3%	2	1%	<b>269</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	3	12%	19	76%	3	12%	0	0%	<b>25</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	15	28%	33	62%	5	9%	0	0%	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	68	50%	63	46%	3	2%	2	1%	<b>136</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	3	7%	37	90%	1	2%	0	0%	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	9	31%	19	66%	1	3%	0	0%	<b>29</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	142	45%	163	51%	10	3%	4	1%	<b>319</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	3	9%	22	69%	7	22%	0	0%	<b>32</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	7	11%	53	82%	2	3%	3	5%	<b>65</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	17	37%	29	63%	0	0%	0	0%	<b>46</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	70	22%	211	67%	26	8%	6	2%	<b>313</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	77	31%	165	67%	4	2%	0	0%	<b>246</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	3,217	38%	4,734	56%	490	6%	72	1%	<b>8,513</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	15	33%	30	67%	0	0%	0	0%	<b>45</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	8	20%	28	70%	4	10%	0	0%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	40	21%	142	76%	4	2%	1	1%	<b>187</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	22	37%	34	57%	3	5%	1	2%	<b>60</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	350	45%	376	48%	45	6%	6	1%	<b>777</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	14	35%	25	63%	1	3%	0	0%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	307	38%	459	57%	43	5%	1	<1%	<b>810</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	252	36%	417	59%	29	4%	4	1%	<b>702</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	220	28%	457	59%	85	11%	15	2%	<b>777</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	81	29%	178	63%	25	9%	0	0%	<b>284</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	41	24%	117	68%	15	9%	0	0%	<b>173</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	21	30%	35	49%	15	21%	0	0%	<b>71</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	60	32%	118	64%	7	4%	0	0%	<b>185</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	28	19%	111	74%	11	7%	0	0%	<b>150</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	13	19%	49	72%	6	9%	0	0%	<b>68</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	30	34%	47	53%	10	11%	1	1%	<b>88</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	68	33%	126	61%	11	5%	0	0%	<b>205</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	90	29%	181	59%	35	11%	2	1%	<b>308</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	10	21%	38	79%	0	0%	0	0%	<b>48</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 15**

**SDM® Risk Reassessment Level (After Overrides), by County**

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Tulare	130	55%	101	43%	6	3%	0	0%	<b>237</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	62	34%	118	64%	5	3%	0	0%	<b>185</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	8	15%	35	67%	9	17%	0	0%	<b>52</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	14	22%	46	71%	5	8%	0	0%	<b>65</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,667</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>9,048</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>936</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>15,773</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments were available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by individual county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 16

## SDM® Reunification Reassessment Completion Rates by County

County	Not Completed		Completed		Total	
	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	165	50%	163	50%	<b>328</b>	<b>100%</b>
Amador	34	81%	8	19%	<b>42</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	123	59%	86	41%	<b>209</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	13	31%	29	69%	<b>42</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	256	69%	116	31%	<b>372</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	12	30%	28	70%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
El Dorado	84	85%	15	15%	<b>99</b>	<b>100%</b>
Fresno	651	98%	14	2%	<b>665</b>	<b>100%</b>
Glenn	18	67%	9	33%	<b>27</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	113	71%	46	29%	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	99	79%	27	21%	<b>126</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	399	54%	341	46%	<b>740</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	86	30%	204	70%	<b>290</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	21	45%	26	55%	<b>47</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lassen	21	72%	8	28%	<b>29</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	4,014	54%	3,438	46%	<b>7,452</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	115	83%	23	17%	<b>138</b>	<b>100%</b>
Marin	28	88%	4	13%	<b>32</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	38	48%	41	52%	<b>79</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	98	48%	108	52%	<b>206</b>	<b>100%</b>
Monterey	129	99%	1	1%	<b>130</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	352	45%	439	55%	<b>791</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	75	68%	35	32%	<b>110</b>	<b>100%</b>
Plumas	30	83%	6	17%	<b>36</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	986	57%	757	43%	<b>1,743</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	595	68%	282	32%	<b>877</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	601	61%	389	39%	<b>990</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	90	41%	129	59%	<b>219</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	266	59%	184	41%	<b>450</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	102	70%	43	30%	<b>145</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Mateo	66	74%	23	26%	<b>89</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	126	70%	55	30%	<b>181</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	59	59%	41	41%	<b>100</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	165	83%	34	17%	<b>199</b>	<b>100%</b>
Siskiyou	43	74%	15	26%	<b>58</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 16****SDM® Reunification Reassessment Completion Rates by County**

<b>County</b>	<b>Not Completed</b>		<b>Completed</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
Solano	63	33%	129	67%	<b>192</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	80	60%	54	40%	<b>134</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	221	77%	65	23%	<b>286</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sutter	40	80%	10	20%	<b>50</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tehama	64	98%	1	2%	<b>65</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	219	49%	230	51%	<b>449</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	10	25%	30	75%	<b>40</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	210	61%	136	39%	<b>346</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	52	44%	65	56%	<b>117</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yuba	47	94%	3	6%	<b>50</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>11,153</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>7,911</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>19,064</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments were available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by individual county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

**Table 17**

**Reunification Reassessment  
Permanency Plan Recommendation by County**

County	Terminate Services		Continue Services		Return Home		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Alameda	73	45%	29	18%	61	37%	<b>163</b>	<b>100%</b>
Butte	39	45%	21	24%	26	30%	<b>86</b>	<b>100%</b>
Calaveras	5	17%	6	21%	18	62%	<b>29</b>	<b>100%</b>
Contra Costa	44	38%	32	28%	40	34%	<b>116</b>	<b>100%</b>
Del Norte	9	32%	9	32%	10	36%	<b>28</b>	<b>100%</b>
Humboldt	22	48%	8	17%	16	35%	<b>46</b>	<b>100%</b>
Imperial	9	33%	8	30%	10	37%	<b>27</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kern	137	40%	96	28%	108	32%	<b>341</b>	<b>100%</b>
Kings	47	23%	58	28%	99	49%	<b>204</b>	<b>100%</b>
Lake	13	50%	5	19%	8	31%	<b>26</b>	<b>100%</b>
Los Angeles	1,366	40%	581	17%	1491	43%	<b>3,438</b>	<b>100%</b>
Madera	6	26%	1	4%	16	70%	<b>23</b>	<b>100%</b>
Mendocino	12	29%	11	27%	18	44%	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>
Merced	47	44%	16	15%	45	42%	<b>108</b>	<b>100%</b>
Orange	166	38%	48	11%	225	51%	<b>439</b>	<b>100%</b>
Placer	15	43%	4	11%	16	46%	<b>35</b>	<b>100%</b>
Riverside	287	38%	122	16%	348	46%	<b>757</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sacramento	122	43%	70	25%	90	32%	<b>282</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Diego	170	44%	62	16%	157	40%	<b>389</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Francisco	46	36%	31	24%	52	40%	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Joaquin	63	34%	55	30%	66	36%	<b>184</b>	<b>100%</b>
San Luis Obispo	22	51%	7	16%	14	33%	<b>43</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Barbara	16	29%	13	24%	26	47%	<b>55</b>	<b>100%</b>
Santa Cruz	12	29%	9	22%	20	49%	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>
Shasta	12	35%	4	12%	18	53%	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>
Solano	58	45%	32	25%	39	30%	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>
Sonoma	22	41%	10	19%	22	41%	<b>54</b>	<b>100%</b>
Stanislaus	35	54%	3	5%	27	42%	<b>65</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tulare	86	37%	70	30%	74	32%	<b>230</b>	<b>100%</b>
Tuolumne	7	23%	8	27%	15	50%	<b>30</b>	<b>100%</b>
Ventura	46	34%	46	34%	44	32%	<b>136</b>	<b>100%</b>
Yolo	29	45%	10	15%	26	40%	<b>65</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,094</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>1,524</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>3,293</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>7,911</b>	<b>100%</b>

Note: When fewer than 25 assessments were available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by individual county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.